IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Artak Jaghinan,) C.A. #2:13-2992-PMD
Plaintiff,)
VS.	ORDER
Delfin Group USA LLC,)
Defendants.)))

This matter is before the court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that defendant's motion to dismiss be granted. The record includes the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with this Court's Order of Reference and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(d), D.S.C..

The Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report.

A review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge, it is **ordered** that defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff's disparate treatment and retaliation claims under Title VII and § 1981 as set forth in the First and Second Causes of Action is **DENIED**. Defendant's motion to dismiss the remaining causes of action is **GRANTED**, and those claims are dismissed.

ORDERED, that the magistrate judge's report and recommendation is adopted as the order of this Court.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY

United States District Judge

October 29, 2014 Charleston, South Carolina